



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/779,606	02/18/2004	Michael J. Seals	060706-1960	8882

24504 7590 01/08/2007
THOMAS, KAYDEN, HORSTEMEYER & RISLEY, LLP
100 GALLERIA PARKWAY, NW
STE 1750
ATLANTA, GA 30339-5948

EXAMINER

TAYLOR, BARRY W

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2617

SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
3 MONTHS	01/08/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/779,606

Applicant(s)

SEALS ET AL.

Examiner

Barry W. Taylor

Art Unit

2617

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on ____.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) ____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) ____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 18 February 2004 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ____. |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date ____. | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: ____. |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

1. Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Arvelo (7,082,107).

Regarding claim 1. Arvelo teaches a method for output power dithering for improved transmitter performance (title, abstract), the method comprising:

transmitting a plurality of packets at a first output power (title, abstract, figures 1 and 3, col. 3 lines 12-33, col. 3 line 63 – col. 4 line 65, col. 5 lines 21-61, col. 10 lines 37-46) ;

determining a first error rate associated with the transmission of the plurality of packets at the first output power (title, abstract, figures 1 and 3, col. 3 lines 12-33, col. 3 line 63 – col. 4 line 65, col. 5 lines 21-61, col. 10 lines 37-46);

transmitting the plurality of packets at least one second output power different from the first output power (title, abstract, figures 1 and 3, col. 3 lines 12-33, col. 3 line 63 – col. 4 line 65, col. 5 lines 21-61, col. 10 lines 37-46);

determining at least one second error rate associated with the transmission at the at least one second output power (title, abstract, figures 1 and 3, col. 3 lines 12-33, col. 3 line 63 – col. 4 line 65, col. 5 lines 21-61, col. 10 lines 37-46); and

identifying a desired output power based at least in part on a comparison between the first error rate and the at least one second error rate (title, abstract, figures 1 and 3, col. 3 lines 12-33, col. 3 line 63 – col. 4 line 65, col. 5 lines 21-61, col. 10 lines 37-46).

Regarding claim 2. Arvelo teaches a method for output power dithering for improved transmitter performance (title, abstract), the method comprising:

transmitting a plurality of packets at a first output power; determining a first error rate associated with the transmission of the plurality of packets at the first output power; transmitting the plurality of packets at a second output power if the first error rate is greater than a predetermined error rate value, wherein the second output power is different from the first output power; determining a second error rate associated with the transmission at the second output power; and adjusting the second output power if the second error rate is lower than the first error rate (title, abstract, figures 1 and 3, col. 3 lines 12-33, col. 3 line 63 – col. 4 line 65, col. 5 lines 21-61, col. 10 lines 37-46).

Regarding claim 3. Arvelo teaches where the second output power is adjusted until a desired value of the second error rate is reached (title, abstract, figures 1 and 3, col. 3 lines 12-33, col. 3 line 63 – col. 4 line 65, col. 5 lines 21-61, col. 10 lines 37-46).

Regarding claim 4. Arvelo teaches transmitting the plurality of packets at a third output power if the second error rate is not lower than the first error rate, wherein the third output power is different from the first output power and the second output power; determining a third error rate associated with the transmission at the third output power; and adjusting the third output power if the third error rate is lower than the first error rate (title, abstract, figures 1 and 3, col. 3 lines 12-33, col. 3 line 63 – col. 4 line 65, col. 5 lines 21-61, col. 10 lines 37-46).

Regarding claim 5. Arvelo teaches transmitting the plurality of packets at the first output power if the third error rate is not lower than the first error rate (col. 4 lines 43-50).

Regarding claim 6. Arvelo teaches resuming transmission of the plurality of packets at the first output power if the first error rate or the second error rate is not determined based on a predetermined criterion (col. 4 lines 43-50).

Regarding claim 7. Arvelo teaches the first error rate and the second error rate are determined based on a number of failed acknowledgements of transmitted packets (col. 5 lines 21-50).

Regarding claim 8. Arvelo teaches transmission at the first output power and second output power is associated with a variable data rate (title, abstract, col. 3 lines 12-13).

Regarding claim 9. Arvelo teaches wherein the first error rate, the second error rate and the predetermined error rate value are associated with the variable data rate (title, abstract, col. 3 lines 12-13).

Regarding claim 10. Arvelo teaches a system for output power dithering for improved transmitter performance (title, abstract), the system comprising:

a transmitter that transmits a plurality of packets at a first output power (title, abstract, figures 1 and 3, col. 3 lines 12-33, col. 3 line 63 – col. 4 line 65, col. 5 lines 21-61, col. 10 lines 37-46); and

a processor that determines a first error rate associated with the transmission of the plurality of packets at the first output power;

causes the transmitter to transmit the plurality of packets at least one second output power (title, abstract, figures 1 and 3, col. 3 lines 12-33, col. 3 line 63 – col. 4 line 65, col. 5 lines 21-61, col. 10 lines 37-46);

determines at least one second error rate associated with the transmission at the at least one second output power (title, abstract, figures 1 and 3, col. 3 lines 12-33, col. 3 line 63 – col. 4 line 65, col. 5 lines 21-61, col. 10 lines 37-46); and

identifies a desired output power based at least in part on a comparison between the first error rate and the at least one second error rate (title, abstract, figures 1 and 3, col. 3 lines 12-33, col. 3 line 63 – col. 4 line 65, col. 5 lines 21-61, col. 10 lines 37-46).

Regarding claim 11. Arvelo teaches a system for output power dithering for improved transmitter performance (title, abstract), the system comprising:

means for transmitting a plurality of packets at a first output power (title, abstract, figures 1 and 3, col. 3 lines 12-33, col. 3 line 63 – col. 4 line 65, col. 5 lines 21-61, col. 10 lines 37-46);

means for determining a first error rate associated with the transmission of the plurality of packets at the first output power (title, abstract, figures 1 and 3, col. 3 lines 12-33, col. 3 line 63 – col. 4 line 65, col. 5 lines 21-61, col. 10 lines 37-46);

means for transmitting the plurality of packets at least one second output power different from the first output power (title, abstract, figures 1 and 3, col. 3 lines 12-33, col. 3 line 63 – col. 4 line 65, col. 5 lines 21-61, col. 10 lines 37-46);

means for determining at least one second error rate associated with the transmission at the at least one second output power (title, abstract, figures 1 and 3, col. 3 lines 12-33, col. 3 line 63 – col. 4 line 65, col. 5 lines 21-61, col. 10 lines 37-46); and

means for identifying a desired output power based at least in part on a comparison between the first error rate and the at least one second error rate (title, abstract, figures 1 and 3, col. 3 lines 12-33, col. 3 line 63 – col. 4 line 65, col. 5 lines 21-61, col. 10 lines 37-46).

Regarding claim 12. Arvelo teaches a computer readable medium having code for causing a processor to perform output power dithering for improved transmitter performance (title, abstract, col. 9 lines 38-46), the computer readable medium comprising:

code adapted to transmit a plurality of packets at a first output power (title, abstract, figures 1 and 3, col. 3 lines 12-33, col. 3 line 63 – col. 4 line 65, col. 5 lines 21-61, col. 10 lines 37-46);

code adapted to determine a first error rate associated with the transmission of the plurality of packets at the first output power (title, abstract, figures 1 and 3, col. 3 lines 12-33, col. 3 line 63 – col. 4 line 65, col. 5 lines 21-61, col. 10 lines 37-46);

code adapted to transmit the plurality of packets at least one second output power different from the first output power (title, abstract, figures 1 and 3, col. 3 lines 12-33, col. 3 line 63 – col. 4 line 65, col. 5 lines 21-61, col. 10 lines 37-46);

code adapted to determine at least one second error rate associated with the transmission at the at least one second output power (title, abstract, figures 1 and 3, col. 3 lines 12-33, col. 3 line 63 – col. 4 line 65, col. 5 lines 21-61, col. 10 lines 37-46); and

code adapted to identify a desired output power based at least in part on a comparison between the first error rate and the at least one second error rate (title, abstract, figures 1 and 3, col. 3 lines 12-33, col. 3 line 63 – col. 4 line 65, col. 5 lines 21-61, col. 10 lines 37-46).

Conclusion

2. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Barry W. Taylor, telephone number (571) 272-7509, who is available Monday-Thursday, 6:30am to 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William Trost, can be reached at (571) 272-7872. The central facsimile phone number for this group is **571-273-8300**.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group 2600 receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-2600, the 2600 Customer Service telephone number is (571) 272-2600.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you

Art Unit: 2617

have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Centralized Delivery Policy: For patent related correspondence, hand carry deliveries must be made to the Customer Service Window (now located at the Randolph Building, 401 Dulany Street, Alexandria, VA 22314), and facsimile transmissions must be sent to the central fax number (571-273-8300).

Barry W. Taylor
Art Unit 2617


BARRY TAYLOR
PRIMARY EXAMINER 12/28/06